NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

E035973

V.

(Super.Ct.No. FSB040619)

LINDA MARIE FILL,

OPINION

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. J. Michael Welch, Judge. Affirmed.

David K. Rankin, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On April 1, 2004, pursuant to Penal Code section 1192.7, Linda Marie Fill (defendant), represented by counsel, pled nolo contendere to a violation of section 187, subdivision (a), murder, and admitted the special allegation filed pursuant to section 12022.5, subdivision (a) of the amended information filed by the San Bernardino County District Attorney.

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code.

In accordance with the disposition, defendant was committed to state prison for the indeterminate sentence of 35 years to life. The appropriate custody credits were awarded to defendant, and San Bernardino Superior Court case Nos. FSB037340 and FWV027823 were dismissed in the interests of justice, along with counts 2 (§§ 664/187) and 3 (§ 207, subd. (a)) of the amended information. (§ 1385.)

Defendant appealed and upon her request, this court appointed counsel to represent her. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 [87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493], setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of the facts, and requesting this court to undertake a review of the entire record.

We offered defendant an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, which she has not done.

We have now concluded our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS

		RAMIREZ	
			P. J.
We concur:			
HOLLENHORST			
	J.		
KING			
	<u></u>		